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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.003 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.046$
$w R$ factor $=0.122$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=13.6$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## Inclusion compound 2-pyridone-1,1-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexane (1/1)

In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, the host molecules of 1,1-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexane are hydrogen bonded via the hydroxy groups into zigzag chains extending along the $b$ axis. The guest molecules of 2-pyridone are paired into N $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen-bonded dimers, which connect two zigzag chains via $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds to produce a hydrogenbonded ribbon. Structure analysis reveals that the mutual relation between two successive guest molecules does not enable photodimerization.

## Comment

1,1-Bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexane (1 in scheme) forms inclusion compounds with various guest molecules (Goldberg et al., 1987). It was successively used for the separation of similar isomers (Caira et al., 1997; Tanaka et al., 2001). It was found that the host-to-guest ratio with xylidine isomers depends on the crystalization temperture (Nassimbeni \& Su, 2002). We crystallized the inclusion compound consisting of 1 as host molecule and 2-pyridone (a in scheme) as guest molecule as part of comprehensive investigation (Lavy \& Kaftory, 2006) concerning the effects of photodimerization of guest molecules in solid inclusion compounds on the crystal structure during and after the reaction takes place.


a
(I)

The title inclusion compound, (I) (Fig. 1), crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$. The host molecules are hydrogen bonded via the hydroxy groups (Table 1) into zigzag chains extending along the $b$ axis. The guest molecules are paired into $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen-bonded dimers (Table 1), which connect two zigzag chains via $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) to produce a hydrogen-bonded ribbon extending along the $b$ axis (Fig. 2). 2H-Pyridone derivatives tend to form hydrogenbonded dimers. From 240 2H-pyridone derivatives in the Cambridge Structural database (Version 5.27; Allen, 2002), 108 were found to have such hydrogen-bonded dimers.

This inclusion compound was crystallized in order to study the effect of photodimerization of the guest molecules in the solid state on the crystal structure. The closest distance


The asymmetric unit of inclusion compound (I), showing the atomic numbering and $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids. The dashed line denotes a hydrogen bond.
between potentially reacting atoms (C20-C23 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) is 5.188 (4) $\AA$ [symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y,-z$ ]. This distance is above the limit of $4.2 \AA$ set by Schmidt (1971) for photodimerization in the solid state. Moreover, the efficiency of the orbital overlap using the definition given by Kearsley (1987) is very poor. The distance between the two lobes is 4.08 (2) $\AA$.

## Experimental

The host and the guest substances were purchased from Sigma. The inclusion compound crystal was obtained from an ethyl acetate solution of a $1: 1$ mixture of host and guest.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NOC}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=363.44$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=6.2860$ (2) $\AA$
$b=10.6530$ (4) A
$c=15.2150(6) \AA$
$\alpha=103.1470$ (14)
$\beta=96.5620(14)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=104.143(3)^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: none 9892 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.046$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.122$
$S=1.01$
3356 reflections
246 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
$V=946.40(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.275 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Prism, colourless
$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

3356 independent reflections
2115 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.060$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.1^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0596 P)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.20 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.20 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: SHELXL97 } \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.050(5)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2
The crystal packing of (I), showing the hydrogen-bonded (dashed lines) ribbon.

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 O 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.82 | 1.85 | $2.648(2)$ | 162 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 1 O 2 \cdots$ 1 $^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.82 | 1.94 | $2.762(2)$ | 176 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 N 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.86 | 2.00 | $2.846(3)$ | 166 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$; (iii) $-x+2,-y+1,-z$.
All H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86 \AA, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.82 \AA$, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}($ parent atom $)$.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO-SMN; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and MERCURY (Macrae et al., 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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